

United States Patent and Trademark Office



DATE MAILED: 10/21/2003



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/764,242	01/19/2001	George Wong	CS98-070B	8930
28112 7:	590 10/21/2003		EXAM	INER
GEORGE O. SAILE & ASSOCIATES 28 DAVIS AVENUE			DIAZ, JOSE R	
	SIE, NY 12603	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2815	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		(M)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
~	09/764,242	WONG, GEORGE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	José R Díaz	2815				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron t, cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28.	July 2003 .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Th	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	20					
	Claim(s) 18-22 is/are pending in the application.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-22</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	pted or b) objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to th						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disappr	oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
_ , , ,						
_ , , , ,	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application has been re	ceived.				
Attachment(s)	, ,					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)				
0.54						

Application/Control Number: 09/764,242

Art Unit: 2815

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 18 and 19 are still rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Saitou et al. (US Pat. No. 5,739,546). See Office action mailed on June 13, 2002.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 20 is still rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saitou et al. (US Pat. No. 5,739,546) in view of Lou (US Pat. No. 5,759,906). See Office action mailed on June 13, 2002.

Art Unit: 2815

5. Claims 21-22 are still rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saitou et al. (US Pat. No. 5,739,546). See last Office action mailed on June 13, 2002.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed July 28, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. On page 2 of applicant's remarks, applicant argues that the prior art Saitou et al. does not anticipate the claimed invention because "applicant's fill layer is limited to the kerf area and does not extend into the chip area as does Saitou's ... structure" [emphasis added]. However, nowhere in the claims applicant limited the formation of the fill layer to only the kerf area, as now argued. With regards to the arguments that the layer 6 of Saitou et al. is not a "fill layer" (page 3 of applicant's remarks), applicant should note that figure 2 of Saitou et al. shows a layer 6 filling the center of the kerf area (3). Thus, layer 6 is also a "fill layer." Furthermore, applicant argues that Saitou et al. does not teaches "a planar silicon oxide layer" (see pages 4-5 of applicant's remarks). However, figure 3 of Saitou et al. clearly teaches that the silicon oxide layer (7) is planar over the "fill layer" (6). Therefore, the rejection is proper since the prior art anticipates the claimed limitations.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/764,242

Art Unit: 2815

42 Page 4

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Correspondence

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

the examiner should be directed to José R Díaz whose telephone number is (703) 308-

6078. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:00 Monday, Tuesday,

Thursday and Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (703) 308-2772. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0956.

GEORGE ECKERT

JRD